Alternative Methods to Determine the Correct Pendulum Length for a Clock

INTRODUCTION:

The most common method to adjust the correct pendulum length found in clock literature involves counting teeth and pinions to calculate how many revolutions per hour the escape wheel must make, followed by determining how many beats per hour the clock was designed to make and therefore how long the pendulum should be. A worked example of this is below. A table showing numerous gear combinations is on page 59 of Practical Clock Repairing (De Carle); it shows the correct pendulum lengths for those gear combinations. Another table showing beats per minute and pendulum length is on page 79 of the same. These table make calculations much easier. However, not all gear combinations are listed, so I have chosen a common clock, the gear combination of which is not listed, for a worked example, (method #1), that assumes no available information.

Note, however, that the pendulum length is theoretical. It measures the distance from the axis of rotation to the center of gravity of the pendulum, not to the bottom of the pendulum. Further, if the clock uses a suspension spring, the thicker the spring, the faster the clock will run, so a longer pendulum would be needed.

So how do we calculate the pendulum length without counting teeth, (a tedious, error-prone procedure), nor trying to determine how much longer the practical length is than the theoretical length?

Method #1: Tooth count method

e.g. Seth Thomas #89 movt. (note that the actual pendulum length would be longer than the theoretical length because of the thick suspension spring used on this type of clock). Here we calculate the theoretical length.

Gear	Teeth	Pinion	Ratio	R.p.h.	R.p.h.
Centershaft	26	10	1	1	1
2nd Wheel	60	8	26÷60=0.433	0.6x1	=0.6
3rd Wheel	42	8	60÷8 =7.5	7.5x0.433	=3.25
4th Wheel	45	7	42÷7 =6	6x3.25	=19.5
Escape Wheel	39	7	45÷7 =6.4	6.4x19.5	=125.36

 $4889 \times 2 = 9778 \text{ beats per hour}$ $9778 \div 60 = 163 \text{ beats per minute}$

Using the formula:

$$T = \pi \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}$$

(Practical Clock Repairing, De Carle, p. 77)

where T = seconds per beat

 π = a constant 3.141592654

L = pendulum length in metres

 $g = gravity 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$

163 b.p.m. = 2.72 beats per second = 0.368 seconds per beat

$$L = \frac{gT^2}{s^2} = \frac{9.81 \cdot 20.368^2}{3.14^2} = 13.5 cm. \approx 5.3 inches$$

Method #2: Ratios using practical length.

First example:

A clock comes in for repair without a pendulum and no clues as to its length. Make up a pendulum as long as the case will allow, or up to 39.15 inches long (a one second pendulum). Set the time, and take a reading after 24 hours. Record the error and the length of the pendulum (for example, from the middle of the suspension spring to the middle of the pendulum bob).

e.g. 39 inches long

lost one hour, 23 minutes in 24 hours.

1.23 = (1x60x60) + (23x60) = 4980 secs.

so actual reading = (24x60x60) - 4980 = 81420 secs.

desired reading = (24x60x60) = 86400 secs. (i.e. 24 hrs.)

then divide by 24 hours to convert to ratios, using a common denominator:

 $81420 \div 86400 = 0.942361$

and $86400 \div 86400 = 1$

Rearranging the formula:

$$T = \pi \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}$$

$$T = \pi \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}$$

Square both sides:

$$T^2 = \pi^2 \left[\frac{L}{g} \right] = L \left[\frac{\pi^2}{g} \right]$$

Eliminate constants:

$$\frac{T_1^2}{T_2^2} = \frac{L_1}{L_2}$$

$$T_1^2 / T_2^2 = (0.942361)^2 / (1)^2 = 0.88804$$

The pendulum length therefore needs to be 89 % of its actual length:

 $39 \times 0.88804 = 34.6$ inches

I would then screw the pendulum bob up as high as it will go, and shorten the pendulum to 35 inches. This is because it is better to have the pendulum a little too long than too short. It can be shortened a little more if it still runs too slow.

Second example:

A clock comes in for repair with a replacement pendulum. A test run reveals that the clock gains 57 minutes in 11 hrs. 13 mins. How much longer does the pendulum need to be?

$$\frac{24}{\left[11 + (13/60)\right]} \mathcal{X}^{\frac{57}{60}} = 2.03$$

2.03 hours fast per day.

The pendulum length as tested was 19 cm.

$$\frac{T_1^2}{T_2^2} = \frac{L_1}{L_2}$$

$$\frac{\left(\frac{26.03}{24}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{24}{24}\right)^2} = \frac{L}{19}$$

The pendulum needs to be lengthened to 22.35 cm.

Method #3: Using the Time Trax machine.

Using the same example. While the above clock is on a test run, Time Trax readings are taken. The machine is set to take readings of 20 beats at a time, which yields fairly rapid yet consistent (low error) readings. Since the readings follow a variance pattern, readings are taken until two are the same:

8669 8641 8652 **8650 8637 8654 8667 8643 8652** 8653 8631 8647

$$x = (8650 + 8637 + 8654 + 8667 + 8643 + 8652) \div 6 = 8650.5$$

The average reading eliminates these variances.

Ratios again:

$$(24 + 2.03)/24 = 8650.5 \div X$$

$$X = (8650.5 \times 24) \div 26.03 = 7975.05$$

The pendulum is lengthened until the Time Trax machine gives an average reading of 7975 beats per hour. However, the variance problem will make adjustment with accuracy difficult. In addition, the machine measures momentary performance, and performance will vary over a week if the clock is an 8 day spring-driven clock, so some additional adjustment will be necessary during a test run.

Method #4: a non - mathematical approach.

Make up a pendulum as long as the case will allow, or 39 inches, and screw the bob up as high as possible. If, on a test run, the clock runs slow, shorten the pendulum at the top by the same length as the length of thread remaining under the bob. Repeat this until the clock runs a little fast and then adjust the bob down the thread until it keeps time.

The only disadvantage with this method is that it may require for the pendulum to be shortened as many as six times or more, rather than once or twice using one or more calculation methods.

MORE PENDULUM ADJUSTMENT:

EXAMPLE 1:

Consider, in method #2, a clock that came in for repair without a pendulum. When we put it on a test run with a 39 inch pendulum, it lost 1 hr 23 mins in 24 hours. Looking at the table below:

 δT is the number of minutes gained (+) or lost (-) in 24 hours.

 δL is the percentage by which the pendulum needs to be lengthened by or shortened to.

The last column shows the factor by which the pendulum length reading is to be multiplied to obtain the desired length.

So δ **T** = -83 mins in 24 hours. From the table, (where δ **T**=-85), the pendulum is to be shortened to 89 percent of its original length, so we multiply the length by the factor of 0.89: 39 x 0.89 = 34.7 inches. Shorten the pendulum as outlined in method #2. Be conservative!

EXAMPLE #2:

Consider the second example in method #2. The pendulum is 19 cm. long and gains 2.03 hours per day (or 121.8 minutes per day), therefore $\delta T = +121.8$.

From the table, (where δT =+120), the pendulum needs to be lengthened by 17.36 %, so we multiply the length by the factor of 1.17:

$$19 \times 1.17 = 22.2 \text{ cm}$$

The pendulum needs to be lengthened to 22 cm. Note from the smaller values on δT in the tables that calculations like these are not practical for small values of δT .

δT	δL	Factor	δT	δL	Factor
-1	99.86%	1.00	1	100.14%	1.00
-2	99.72%	1.00	2	100.28%	1.00
-3	99.58%	1.00	3	100.42%	1.00
-4	99.45%	0.99	4	100.56%	1.01
-5	99.31%	0.99	5	100.70%	1.01
-10	98.62%	0.99	10	101.39%	1.01
-15	97.93%	0.98	15	102.09%	1.02
-20	97.24%	0.97	20	102.80%	1.03
-25	96.56%	0.97	25	103.50%	1.04
-30	95.88%	0.96	30	104.21%	1.04
-35	95.20%	0.95	35	104.92%	1.05
-40	94.52%	0.95	40	105.63%	1.06
-45	93.85%	0.94	45	106.35%	1.06
-50	93.18%	0.93	50	107.07%	1.07
-55	92.51%	0.93	55	107.78%	1.08
-60	91.84%	0.92	60	108.51%	1.09
-65	91.18%	0.91	65	109.23%	1.09
-70	90.51%	0.91	70	109.96%	1.10
-75	89.85%	0.90	75	110.69%	1.11
-80	89.20%	0.89	80	111.42%	1.11
-85	88.54%	0.89	85	112.15%	1.12
-90	87.89%	0.88	90	112.89%	1.13
-95	87.24%	0.87	95	113.63%	1.14
-100	86.59%	0.87	100	114.37%	1.14
-105	85.95%	0.86	105	115.12%	1.15
-110	85.31%	0.85	110	115.86%	1.16
-115	84.67%	0.85	115	116.61%	1.17
-120	84.03%	0.84	120	117.36%	1.17
-125	83.39%	0.83	125	118.11%	1.18
-130	82.76%	0.83	130	118.87%	1.19
-135	82.13%	0.82	135	119.63%	1.20
-140	81.50%	0.82	140	120.39%	1.20
-145	80.88%	0.81	145	121.15%	1.21
-150	80.25%	0.80	150	121.92%	1.22
-155	79.63%	0.80	155	122.69%	1.23
-160	79.01%	0.79	160	123.46%	1.23
-165	78.40%	0.78	165	124.23%	1.24
-170	77.78%	0.78	170	125.00%	1.25
-175	77.17%	0.77	175	125.78%	1.26
-180	76.56%	0.77	180	126.56%	1.27

Mark Headrick